



Forest Fire and its Influence on Environmental conditions: A review

Rajesh Kumar¹, Harischandra Darro², Atul Kumar Bhardwaj³, K. K. Chandra⁴

¹Department of Forestry, Wildlife & Environmental Science, Guru Ghasidas (A Central University)

Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

Email: rajesh.dewangan0506@gmail.com

²Department of Forestry, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

Email: chandraharis50@gmail.com

³Department of Forestry, Wildlife & Environmental Science, Guru Ghasidas (A Central University)

Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

Email: akbkrb@gmail.com

⁴Department of Forestry, Wildlife & Environmental Science, Guru Ghasidas (A Central University)

Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

Email: kkckvk@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Currently, a forest fire is a core issue of forest deterioration and ecosystem services across the globe. The world forest faces extreme fire that degrades a large area of the forest result loss in the ecosystem and biodiversity. Most of the fire incidences occur because of the increasing activities of the human in a forest area. Intentionally, forest residents and tribal communities set fire for collecting forest produces and cultivating agriculture in the forest area. The recurrent fire contributes to global greenhouse gases, which accelerating climate change. Major gases emitted with forest fire includes carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, volatile organic carbon, and particle matters. These gasses reduce the efficiency of ozone and release the aerosol into the atmosphere, which complex affects the cooling and warming dynamics of the environment. Worldwide, there have been increasing incidences of forest fire for few decades results in environmental, economical, and ecological losses to natural resources.

KEYWORDS: Forest, Fire, Ecosystem, Environment, Climate change.

Received 21.09.2021

Revised 18.10.2021

Accepted 16.11.2021

INTRODUCTION

The global forest cover occurs in 31 percent of the total geographical area [1]. However, half of the forest cover is relatively intact, and more than 1/3 forest is primary forest (Table 1). The World forest area exhibit in 1.06 billion hectares accounts for 5000m²per capita, which is enough for the environment's wellbeing. Nevertheless, the distribution of forests is not equal around the globe [2], and half of the world forest is confined to only five countries, namely the United States of America, Brazil, the Russian Federation, Canada, and China). Moreover, ten countries have two-thirds area of the natural world forests [3]. These forests are known as the earth's lungs as they provide environmental security by absorbing pollutants and harmful gases from the environment. The Amazon rainforest is the largest forest globally, followed by Congo and Atlantic forests (Table 1). The forest and its composition have different potentials of sequestering carbon results variation in CO₂ storage and their contribution in climate change mitigation (Table 2).

Table 1. The largest forest in the World

Forest	Area (km ²)	Countries
Amazon rainforest	5,500,000	Brazil, Peru, Colombia, Bolivia, Ecuador, French Guiana, Guyana, Suriname, Venezuela
Atlantic Forest	1,315,460	Brazil, Argentina, Paraguay
Congo Rainforest	2,000,000	Angola, Cameroon, Central African Republic, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Republic of the Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon
Daintree Rainforest	1,200	Australia
Kinabalu Park	754	Malaysia
Rainforest of Xishuangbanna	19,223	China
Sunderbans	10,000	India, Bangladesh
Tongass National Forest	68,000	United States
Valdivian Temperate Rainforest	248,100	Chile, Argentina

Table 2. Average carbon quantity sequestered by biome

Biome	Carbon quantity sequestered (%)
Tropical Forests	23
Tropical Savannas	18
Temperate Grasslands	17
Temperate Forests	15
Boreal Forests	11
Tundra	9
Wetlands	<1
Croplands	N/A

Currently, the forest fire has become an environmental issue worldwide due to changing climatic, aridity and uneven warming of the earth in different regions [4,5,6,7]. Table 3 depicts the significant incidences of the forest all over the World (Table 3) [3]. In most cases, forest fires cause anthropogenically, which destroy a million hectares of flora, fauna, and microbial communities. Forest fire is associated with the emission of harmful gases and particles, which significantly influence the chemical properties of the atmosphere and earth's ecosystem [8,9,10,11]. During a forest fire, a large quantity of CO₂ released into the environment, which expect to warm the earth in the future [12]. Not only forests, but these gaseous emissions are also a threat to the health of humans [13]. The forest fire impacts the environment depending on the weather condition, chemical composition, fire plume dynamics, gases amount, and the atmosphere, in which the emission are dispersed [14]. The amount of gasses composition depends on the wide range of variables related to fuel characteristics like structure, type, chemistry, loading, moisture, and fire behavior of particular forest areas [15]. The fuel characteristics are specific properties that heavily changed by environmental conditions like seasonal weather patterns that drive fuel moisture or anthropogenic nitrogen and sulphur deposition that impact fuel chemistry [16].

Table 3. Forest fire activity in the World in 2010-2020

Year	Fire name	Country	Area cover (in hac.)
2010	Binta Lake Fire	British Columbia	40,000
2011	Texas Wildfire Season	Texas	1,623,481
	Wallow Fire	Arizona and New Mexico	217,741
	Richardson Backcountry Fire	Alberta	707,648
	Las Conchas Fire	New Mexico	63,250
2012	Whitewater-Baldy complex Fire	New Mexico	117,148
	High Park Fire	Colorado	35,323
	Ash Creek Fire	Montana	100,000
	Long Draw Fire and Miller Homestead Fire	Oregon	291,250
	Mustang Complex Wildfire	Idaho	134,000
	Rush Fire	California and Nevada	127,701
2013	Quebec Fire	Quebec	250,000
	Rim Fire	California	102,520
2014	Carlton Complex Fire	Washington	102,000
	Northwest Territories fires	Northwest Territories	3,400,000

2015	Okanogan Complex	Washington	122,306
2016	Anderson Creek Fire	Kansas and Oklahoma	148,770
	Fort McMurray Wildfire	Alberta and Saskatchewan	593,670
2017	British Columbia wildfires	British Columbia	1,216,053
	Montana wildfires	Montana	524,000
	Thomas Fire	California	114,078
2018	Mendocino Complex Fire	California	185,792
	British Columbia wildfires	British Columbia	1,354,284
2019	Decker Fire	Colorado	3,626
2020	Colorado Wildfire Season	Colorado	240,000
	Oregon wildfires	Oregon	400,000
	California wildfires	California	1,788,832

Status of forest fire in India

Additionally, forest fires are now becoming a significant cause the forest degradation in India, especially in the dry deciduous forest region, where the autumn and dry pre-monsoon period due to the presence of dry leaves and low moisture content in soil and abundant fuel loads composed in the substrate [17]. Our forests are prone to fire which vary between 33% in some State to over 90% in others [18]. About 3.73 million ha of Indian forests are annually affected [19,20,21]. The more prominent cases of forest fire observed in north-eastern region of India are associated with the traditional practice of shifting agriculture [22]. Indian peoples are reluctant to forest conservation and protection, reflecting that more than 90% of forest fire cases occur due to manufactured reasons [23,24]. The other causative factors responsible for forest fire are groups: natural, human deliberation, and unintentionally/ accidental human interference [25]. The countries Central and East-central states such as Odisha, Chhattisgarh, and Madhya Pradesh occupy tropical deciduous forests that are highly vulnerable to seasonal forest fires. In hilly areas of the Himalayas, Chir Pine forest is widespread that catches fire quickly due to the presence of resin in the species of these forests [26]. Inventories conducted by the Forest Survey of India illustrated that 55 percent of forest fires were encountered because of grazing activities. The cattle owner set fire in forest areas owing to better growth of green grasses. The natural regeneration of fired area also reported 72 percent less than the nonfire forests [19]. The incidence of forest fire in different states of the country (Table 4), which also indicates the forest losses due to higher reports of wildfire in India [27].

Table 4. Incidences of Forest fire in different states of India.

State	Fire affected (%)
Assam	51
Gujarat	51
Arunachal Pradesh	93
Bihar	67
Himachal Pradesh	69
Jammu & Kashmir	46
Karnataka	45
Madhya Pradesh	76
Meghalaya	94
Orissa	94
Nagaland	87
Utter Pradesh	58
West Bengal	33

Composition on forest fire

Forest fire is a complex process that reduces the carbon chain stored in plants and soil [28]. The emissions from forest fire also vary depending on sources like carbonaceous aerosols, greenhouse gases, CO, and other gasses compounds. The presence of fuel for catching fire determines the intensity of forest fire. Forest consists of 35 -55% carbon in their wood mass which releases into the atmosphere during the burning of forests [29,30]. Fuel combustion release a complex mixture of gases and particle compounds like CO₂, NO, SO₂, and other toxic and carcinogenic gases [31]. The combustion of wood material of the plant required different burning temperatures results in the release of various gasses in the environment (Table 4) [32].

Table 4: Forest fire and its volatile products under different temperatures.

Temperature margins	Typical volatile products relies in forest fire
100-300°C	HX, HCN, H ₂ S, Monomers
300-400°C	NO _x , CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₄ , CH ₃ OH, C ₂ H ₅ OH, HCOOH, CH ₃ COOH, H ₂ CO, CH ₂ =CH-CHO, Furans, Ketons
400-500°C	Hydrocarbons C7-C10
500-600°C	Hydrocarbons C ₁₀ -C ₃₀ , BTX, PAH, Chlorinated Aromatic Compounds, PCDD, PCDF
More than 300°C	NO _x , Soot
All margin	H ₂ O, CO ₂ , CO, SO ₂

Effect of Forest fire on the environment

Mainly, forest fire affects all components of the environment; however, the most common impacts are described below:

i. Fire effluents in the water

Forest fires have been polluting the water, directly and indirectly, due to the formation of harmful chemicals by the fire, first going into the atmosphere later to the water by precipitation or sedimentation. In presence of ash, scale and small plant pieces can mainly cause the direct pollution in nearby surface water. Indirectly, the pollution has spread the long-distance by the wind causes polluting distance waters [33]. Forest fire is commonly extinguished with water, although uses in other agents depending on the type of fire [34]. Some many chemicals are produced after the fire that is soluble in natural water sources. One of the more specific pollutants is Polycyclic Aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), hydrocarbons, dioxins, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), ammonia, metals, and suspended solids that are also likely in the substance mixed in the water. In addition, water used for fire-fighting can wash other fire extinguishing chemicals into the neighboring surface waters, polluting rivers and lakes in the vicinity [35]. Those neutral chemicals in soil and only change the chemical composition ratio but are otherwise inactive can be activated in water by a series of chemical reactions, causing dangerous pollution on much larger territories example, alongside a river. These pollutants can endanger or even exterminate water ecosystems [36].

ii. Fire effluents in the air

The fire plume has entrained products of combustion that move upward due to excess, where it will spread based on prevailing wind conditions [37]. In a forest fire, emitted gas may be present in the volatile organic compounds (VOCs), inorganic gases, the Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), and the dioxins. The species that are spread by the plume will be pretty light. The main hazards of these gases are the toxicity of the contents and the susceptibility of the receptors. For example, forest fires often will emit particulates that consist of soot and smoke particles. These particles are not highly toxic and dangerous to healthy populations but too susceptible populations such as those with asthma or respiratory in old populations [38]. A large number of organic microparticles in the atmosphere emanating from incomplete burning can pollute the air. During forest fires, vast quantities of carbon dioxide (CO₂) go into the atmosphere, aggravating the greenhouse effects growing tendencies accelerating global warming [28]. Because of forest fires, territories of woodlands and the number of trees reduce together their photosynthetic performance and oxygen production, making the situation even worse. Due to incomplete burning, they are causing severe health risks to animals and firefighters.

iii. Fire effluents in the terrestrial environment

The terrestrial ecosystem plays an essential role play in ecological conditions in the World. Forest fire impact on the terrestrial environment is less of a short-term hazard but might have long-term exposure concerns [17]. In increase, the quantity of the effluents to the terrestrial environment can be a primary pathway and a secondary pathway where effluents would be thrown from the plume down to the ground. Again, a critical part of the identification of the effluents will be whether there are any hazardous materials stored on-site and any extinguishing agents used. Polycyclic Aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), furans, dioxins, metals, and items that present some form of toxicity [39].

iv. Cooling and Warming Effects

Mostly, whether the global level of fire activity in warming or cooling the atmosphere overall [40]. Fire flumes produce gasses like CO₂ and other volatile organic particles called aerosols, including substances like black carbon and gases that form ozone [41]. One recent study on the Environmental Protection Agency suggests that forest fires emit three times fine particle pollution than the Environmental Protection Agency estimated. This pollution creates an impact on the climate. Some of those aerosols can make the more reflective in the atmosphere. They also block the sunlight and cool the atmosphere, similar to the effect attributed to emissions from volcanic eruptions [42]. In general, the climate effect of aerosols is temporary, lasting from a few months to a couple of years. Nevertheless, black carbon, aerosol, and

temporary climate pollutants can absorb heat while floating around in the air, which causes the atmosphere [43].

v. Wind-Blown Soot Can Affect the Ice Sheets

Few aerosols and other particles are heavy enough to drift earthward, and others will wash to the ground with the first good rains of autumn or winter, but not before spreading out over the Northern Hemisphere's oceans and continents[44]. Those tiny remnants of burned plants can also affect the climate when they land on mountain glaciers, especially on the Arctic snow and ice. In some years, scientists have traced soot from wildfires in Canada to Greenland, where they darken the ice and snow and speed up melting [45]. The overall effect of wildfire fallout on Arctic melting is difficult to quantify, partly because of sparse sampling across the remote area and the significant annual variations in wildfire emissions [46].

vi. Environmental problems due to fire fighting agents

The various aspects of possible environmental pollution are caused by fires and fire-fighting agents [35]. The fighting fire substances influence the atmosphere, soil, the vicinity of the burning object, the groundwater, and the surface waters in very diverse manners[47]. Some fire fighting activities reduce the fire intensity, such as the heat of a fire, bursting tanks, vapor cloud explosions, etc. The contract of chemical reaction in a chemical reactor under a set of adequately controlled conditions, in under produce in hundreds of chemical compounds[48]. In the first-line present in water and carbon dioxide. Some released of other substances have a high toxic potential to men, flora, and fauna. Toxic and, in other ways, dangerous substances are brought into the soil of the fire ground by the fire brigade's activities [49]. If the fire brigade succeeds and provides a sudden quenching of the hot he with cold water, this will stop the chemical chain reactions at once. A chemical cocktail with an indefinite composition has evolved in a fire that is subject to a sudden fall in temperature and change of reaction medium due to the application of a fire-fighting agent. Primarily, Halons and FCFCs chemicals are used in the fire fighting agents. This agent major responsible for destroying the ozone layer in the stratosphere shows how environmental problems with a very efficient fire-fighting agent can take a global dimension [32].

Impact of forest fire on Climate change

Fires are significant responses to climate change, but fires are not only a response. They feedback to warming, which feeds more fires. When vegetation burns, the resulting release of stored carbon increases global warming [50]. The excessfire releases more carbon dioxide and creates more warming and also more fires. The very black fine carbon soot, released into the atmosphere by fires, also contributes to warming [51]. Forest fires affect the global carbon cycle, and thus the climate, in three main ways [52]. Forest, fire releases large quantities of black soot carbon into the atmosphere through the combustion of plant material and surface soil organic matter. Second, fire-killed vegetation decomposes over time, emitting carbon [53]. Third, the vegetation on newly burned sites may not absorb as much carbon from the atmosphere as the decaying vegetation emits, or as much as the prefire vegetation absorbed, for several years or decades after a fire. Some everyday activities that influence climatic are:

i. Fire as a Source of Greenhouse Gases

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [54,55], Greenhouse gas is an increase in an atmosphere responsible for forest degradation and associated biomass burning. Forest fires have contributed significantly to greenhouse gas emissions, influencing global climate change [56]. The significant contributions to global fire emissions are from Africa, South America, Equatorial Asia, the boreal region, and Australia (Table 5) [57]. In carbon emission, savannas and grasslands contribute 60%, but most of these emissions compensate by rapid post-burn regrowth [58]. Fire is also an essential source of aerosols. Aerosols decrease regional and global irradiation through the backscattering of incoming solar radiation [59]. Smoke aerosols can also decrease or increase cloud cover in complex and nonlinear ways that are not yet adequately quantified. A forest fire can also influence the radiative forcing by altering the albedo [60]. To release the black soot immediately after a burn heats the surface by reducing albedo. However, fire-induced reduction in tree cover can cause cooling by extending snow cover in boreal forests or replacing dark forests with more reflective vegetation (eg. savanna grasses) elsewhere. The net effects of changing forest fire regimes on global warming are complex and uncertain.

Table 5. Major contribution to global fire emission

Africa	52%
South America	15%
Equatorial Asia	10%
Boreal region	8%
Australia	7%

ii. Fire as a source of global warming

One of the major culprits of climate change is forest fire. The burning of forests also destroys an important sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide. Hence, the significant role of forest burning in the World's carbon dioxide budget. If the burned ecosystem regrows, the carbon dioxide is eventually removed from the atmosphere via photosynthesis and is incorporated into the new vegetative growth. Other gaseous emissions, however, remain in the atmosphere. Studies suggest that biomass burning has increased globally over the last 100 years, and calculations indicate that hotter earth resulting from global warming will lead to more frequent water-related calamities and larger fires [61].

iii. Fire as a source of ozone layer depletion

Ozone (O₃) is an essential secondary air pollutant with health and climate implications [62,63]. Exposure to high ozone concentrations has also been linked to short-term mortality [64], aggravates bronchitis and irritates lungs, asthma, and emphysema [65,66]. Ozone forms from the interaction of non-methane organic carbons and nitrogen oxides in the presence of sunlight. There are good natural sources of nitrogen oxides, and non-methane organic carbons are typically the limiting precursor in ozone production. Wildfires also generate substantial emissions of ozone precursors [67,29], and the majority of observations suggest some degree of ozone production from wildfires. Wildfires emit substantial amounts of non-methane organic carbons and nitrogen oxides, the primary ozone precursors. In identifying the large number of non-methane organic carbons has been smoking plumes [68]. However, the boreal regions have found that ozone is minimally enhanced or even depleted downwind of some biomass burning of plumes [69].

iv. Changes to biodiversity

Forest fire is one of the most primary causative natural drivers of biodiversity loss [70], depletion of terrestrial ecosystem productivity and exhaustion of forest carbon stocks [71], the decline in soil fertility, and subsequent crop production [72], escalation of air pollutants [73], water quantity and quality [74], and increase in the magnitude of landslide susceptibility [75]. After the forest fire species change in composition, this may be good or bad depending on the utility of the stands that preceded and succeeded the fires [76]. In forest ecosystems, understory fire regimes have the most significant influence on biodiversity within plant communities because the understory vegetation is more affected by fire than the overstory [77]. Stand-replacement fire regimes substantially influence biodiversity across the landscape by affecting patches' size, shape, and distribution. Mixed fire regimes probably have the most influence on biodiversity within plant communities and affect patch characteristics or community diversity. Fire frequency and seasonal timing largely determine biodiversity in grassland ecosystems. In many ecosystems, biodiversity can be increased after the fire and reduced by eliminating fire [78]. The most diverse complexes of species in various fire regimes in time and space creatures. The ecosystem diversity is landscaped after a fire with high timing, pattern, intensity, and frequency [79].

v. Ecosystem destruction

A forest fire has been destroying the forest ecosystem and decreases the species population in the forest. The ecosystem's most destructive causes of forest fire are very evident in the Himalayas area, where the Himalayas region's pine forests are dominated in 1000 to 1800 meters of height and seem to be more fire-prone [80]. Most of these forests have preceding fire history repeated fires have converted mixed forests of oak and Chir to pure chir forest. Mostly, Chir pine is planted in this area, but most of the principal reasons for the conversion of mixed forest of Chir and oak is a repeated and uncontrolled forest fire [81]. In the oak forests, uncontrolled fires have made the less favorable situation for the growth and more favorable for Chir forest growth. The uncontrolled fires in such areas help spread pine forest at the cost of indigenous oak forest, which is a grave threat to the ecological balance in the Himalayan region [82].

CONCLUSION

A forest fire is crucial for ecological conditions because they disturb forest ecosystem and climatic conditions of the area. It releases many trace gasses and particles; these gasses are very significant responsible for changing the chemical properties of the atmosphere and global ecological system. The emitted gasses add various substances such as carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, particulate matter to the environment and contribute to the global warming. These gasses are primarily responsible for influencing the environmental condition and greenhouse emission, which indirectly influences the albedo and ozone formation effect. Fire also emits aerosol in the environment, which is responsible mainly for the cooling and warming of the environment. Release the emitted gases or particles significant role play contribution of increase the air pollution in the environment and decreasing visibility in a particular area.

REFERENCES

1. GFRA. (2020). Food and agriculture organization of the united Nation. <http://www.fao.org/forest-resources-assessment> > 2020.
2. Nathalie, B., Martin, F.P. (1999). Mountain people, forests, and trees: Strategies for balancing local management and outside interests. A synthesis of an electronic conference of the Mountain Forum. The Econference report.
3. Forest Resources Assessment (FRA). (2020). Key findings. Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca8753en>.
4. Taylor, S.W., Alexander, M.E., 2018. Field guide to the Canadian forest fire behavior prediction (FBP) system. (BINDER) (Vol. 11, No. 11).
5. Littell, J.S., Peterson, D.L., Riley, K.L., Liu, Y., Luce, C.H. (2016). A review of the relationships between drought and forest fire in the United States. *Global Change Biology*, 22: 2353–2369 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13275>.
6. Zhang-Turpeinen, H., Kivimaenpaa, M., Aaltonen, H., Berninger, F., Koster, E., Koster, K., Pumpanen, J. (2020). Wildfire effects on BVOC emissions from boreal forest floor on permafrost soil in Siberia. *Sci. Total Environ.* 13:48-51. DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134851
7. Vachula, R.S., Sae-Lim, J., Russell, J.M. (2020). Sedimentary charcoal proxy records of fire in Alaskan tundra ecosystems. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 541, 109564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2019.109564>
8. Spinage, C.A. 2012. Fire Part I: Introduction and History. In: African Ecology Benchmarks and Historical Perspectives (Spinage CA, ed). 251-292, Springer, London, UK.
9. Ito, A., Penner, J.E. (2004). Global estimates of biomass burning emissions based on satellite imagery for the year 2000. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109: D14S05. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004423>
10. Wiedinmyer, C., Quayle, B., Geron, C., Belote, A., McKenzie, D., Zhang, X., O'Neill, S., and Wynne, K.K. (2006). Estimating emissions from fires in North America for air quality modeling. *Atmos. Environ.*, 40: 3419–3432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.02.010>
11. Lapina, K., Honrath, R.E., Owen, R.C., Martin, M.V., Pfister, G. (2006). Evidence of significant large-scale impacts of boreal fires on ozone levels in the midlatitude Northern Hemisphere free troposphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33:L10815. DOI:10.1029/2006GL025878
12. Simpson, I.J., Rowland, F.S., Meinardi, S., and Blake, D.R. (2006). Influence of biomass burning during recent fluctuations in the slow growth of global tropospheric methane. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33: L22808. DOI:10.1029/2006GL027330
13. Naik, V., Mauzerall, D.L., Horowitz, L.W., Schwarzkopf, M.D., Ramaswamy, V., Oppenheimer, M. 2007. On the sensitivity of radiative forcing from biomass burning aerosols and ozone to emission location. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34, L03818. DOI:10.1029/2006GL028149
14. Van der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G.J., Kasibhatla, P.S., and Arellano, A.F. (2006). Interannual variability in global biomass burning emissions from 1997 to 2004. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 6:3423–3441. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3423-2006>.
15. Michel, C., Lioussé, C., Gregoire, J.M., Tansey, K., Carmichael, G.R., and Woo, J.H. (2005). Biomass burning emission inventory from burnt area data given by the SPOT-VEGETATION system in the frame of TRACE-P and ACE-Asia campaigns. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110: D09304. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005461>
16. Christian, T.J., Kleiss, B., Yokelson, R.J., Holzinger, R., Crutzen, P.J., Hao, W.M., Saharjo, B.H., Ward, D.E. (2003). Comprehensive laboratory measurements of biomass-burning emissions: 1. Emissions from Indonesian, African, and other fuels. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108: 4719.
17. Rothermel, R.C. (1972). A mathematical model for predicting fire spread in wildland fuels. Research Paper INT-115. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, Utah.
18. Chandra, K.K., Kumar Bhardwaj, A. (2015). Incidence of forest fire in India and its effect on terrestrial ecosystem dynamics, nutrient and microbial status of soil. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 5 (2): 69–78. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijaf.20150502.01>
19. Roy, P.S. (2003). Forest fire and degradation assessment using satellite remote sensing and geographic information system. *Satellite Remote sensing and GIS applications in agricultural meteorology*.
20. Ministry of Environment and Forest. (1997). State Forest Report. Published by Forest Survey of India.
21. Bahuguna, V.K. (1999). Forest fire prevention and control strategies in India. *International Forest fires News*, 20: 5-9.
22. Srivastava, R., Chidambaram, K.K., Kumaravelu, G. (1998). Impact of forest fire and biotic interference on the biodiversity of Eastern ghats. *Indian Forester*, 125(5).
23. Puri, K., Areendran, G., Raj, K., Mazumdar, S., Joshi, P.K. (2011). Forest fire risk assessment in parts of Northeast India using geospatial tools. *J. For. Res.*, 22 (4):641–647. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-011-0206-4>.
24. Roy, P.S. (2004). Forest Fire and Degradation Assessment using Satellite Remote Sensing and Geographic Information System. *Satellite Remote Sensing and GIS Applications in Agricultural Meteorology*, pp. 362-363.
25. Kale, M.P., Ramachandran, R.M., Pardeshi, S.N., Chavan, M., Ashok, K., Joshi, P.K., Pai, D.S., Bhavani Yadav, P., Roy, P.S. (2017). Are climate extremes changing forest fire regimes in India? An analysis using MODIS fire locations of 2003-2013 and gridded climate data of India Meteorological Department. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section A: Physical Sciences*. 87 (4): 827–843. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40010-017-0452-8>
26. Jaiswal, R.K., Mukherjee, S., Raju, K.D., Saxena, R. (2002). Forest fire risk zone mapping from satellite imagery and GIS. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.*, 4 (1): 1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0303-2434\(02\)00006-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0303-2434(02)00006-5).

27. Joseph, S., Anitha, K., Murthy, M.S.R. (2009). Forest fire in India: a review of the knowledge base. *J. For. Res.*, 14 (3): 127–134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10310-009-0116-x>.
28. Lal, R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. *Geoderma*, 123: 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.01.032>
29. Van der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G.J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P.S., Morton, D.C., DeFries, R.S., Jin, Y., van Leeuwen, T.T. (2010). Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997e2009). *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10: 11707e11735. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010>
30. Akagi, S.K., Yokelson, R.J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M.J., Reid, J.S., Karl, T., Crounse, J.D., Wennberg, P.O. (2011). Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11:4039e4072. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011>
31. Burling, I.R., Yokelson, R.J., Griffith, D.W.T., Johnson, T.J., Veres, P., Roberts, J.M., Warneke, C., Urbanski, S.P., Reardon, J., Weise, D.R., Hao, W.M., de Gouw, J. (2010). Laboratory measurements of trace gas emissions from biomass burning of fuel types from the southeastern and southwestern United States. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10:11115e11130. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11115-2010>
32. Ward, D.E., Radke, L.F. (1993). Emissions measurements from vegetation fires: a comparative evaluation of methods and results. In: Crutzen, P.J., Goldammer, J.G. (Eds.), *Fire in the Environment: The Ecological, Atmospheric and Climatic Importance of Vegetation Fires*. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 53e76.
33. Holemann Hans. (1994). Environmental Problems Caused by Fires and Fire-Fighting Agents. Fire safety science-proceedings of the fourth International symposium, pp. 61-77. <http://www.iafss.org/publications/fss/4/61/view>.
34. Douglas, George W., Ballard, T.M. (1971). Effects of Fire on Alpine Plant Communities in the North Cascades, Washington. *Ecology*, 52(6):1058-1064. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1933813>
35. Charles C., Rhoades, A.E., Joao, P., Nunes, B., Uldisilins, C., Stefan, H., Doerr, D. (2019). The influence of wildfire on water quality and watershed processes: new insights and remaining challenges. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, 28:721–725 https://doi.org/10.1071/WFv28n10_FO.
36. Tecle, A., Neary, D. (2015). Water Quality Impacts of Forest Fires. *J Pollut Eff Cont.*, 3:2 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2375-4397.1000140>.
37. Bixby R. J., Cooper, S.D., Gresswell R. E., Brown L. E., Dahm C. N., Dwire, K. A. (2015). Fire effects on aquatic ecosystems: an assessment of the current state of the science. *Freshwater Science*, 34(4):1340–1350. DOI: 10.1086/684073
38. Zivanovic, S., Ivanovic, R., Nikolic, M. (2020). Influence of air temperature and precipitation on the risk of forest fires in Serbia. *Meteorol Atmos Phys*, 132: 869–883. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00703-020-00725-6>.
39. Kirsanov A., Rozinkina I., Rivin G., Zakharchenko, D., Olchev, A. (2020). Effect of Natural Forest Fires on Regional Weather Conditions in Siberia. *Atmosphere*, 11: 1133. doi:10.3390/atmos11101133
40. Flannigan, M.D., Amiro, B.D., Logan, K.A., Stocks, B.J., Wotton, B.M. (2015). Forest fires and climate change in the 21st century. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* (2005), 11: 847–859. DOI: 10.1007/s11027-005-9020-7
41. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2001). *Climate Change 2001 The Scientific Basis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
42. Lavorel, S., Flannigan, M.D., Lambin, E.F., Scholes, M.C. (2005). Vulnerability of land systems to fire: Interactions between humans, climate, the atmosphere and ecosystems', *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, In press. DOI:10.1007/s11027-006-9046-5
43. Wotton, B.M., Martell, D.L. and Logan, K.A. (2003). Climate change and people-caused forest fire occurrence in Ontario', *Climatic Change*, 60:275–295. DOI:10.1023/A:1026075919710
44. Kim Yongwon., Hatsushika Hiroaki., Muskett Reginald R., Yamazaki Koji. (2005). Possible effect of boreal wildfire soot on Arctic sea ice and Alaska glaciers. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39:3513–3520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.02.050>
45. Hansen, J., Nazarenko, L. (2004). Soot climate forcing via snow and ice albedos. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, 101 (2). DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2237157100
46. Clark, M.P., Serreze, M.C., Berry, R.G. (1996). Characteristics of Arctic Ocean climate based on COADS data 1980–1993. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 23 (15): 1953–1956. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96GL01807>
47. Martin, D., Tomida, M. & Meacham, B. (2016) Environmental impact of fire. *Fire Sci Rev*, 5:5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40038-016-0014-1>.
48. FM Global. (2010) Environmental Impact of Automatic Fire Sprinklers. FM Global Research Division, Norwood, Retrieved from <http://www.iccsafe.org/gr/Documents/AdoptionToolkit/FM-Global-Environmental Impact Automatic FireSprinklers.pdf>.
49. Modovsky, C. (2007) Ecological Risk Assessment: Wildland Fire-Fighting Chemicals, Labat Environmental. United States Forest Service, Missoula, Retrieved from http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/fire/wfcs/documents/era_pub.pdf.
50. Halofsky, J.E., Peterson, D. L., Harvey, B.J. (2020). Changing wildfire, changing forests: the effects of climate change on fire regimes and vegetation in the Pacific Northwest, USA. *Fire ecol*, 16: 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42408-019-0062-8>.
51. Barbero, R., Abatzoglou, J.T., Larkin, N.K., Kolden, C.A., Stocks, B. (2015). Climate change presents increased potential for very large fires in the contiguous United States. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, 24: 892–899. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WF15083>.

52. Kasischke, E.S., Stocks, B.J. (2000). Fire, climate change, and carbon cycling in the boreal forest. *New York: Springer-Verlag.*, 461.DOI:10.1007/978-0-387-21629-4
53. Donato, D.C., Fontaine, J.B., Robinson, W.D., Kauffman, J.B., Law, B.E. (2009).Vegetation response to a short interval between high-severity wildfires in a mixed-evergreenforest. *Journal of Ecology.*, 97: 142–154. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01456.x>.
54. IPCC. (2014). Climate Change 2014. Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp. https://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/syr/SYR_AR5_FINAL_full_wcover.pdf
55. IPCC. (2006). Appendix 2 Possible Approach for Estimating CO₂ Emissions from Lands Converted to Permanently Flooded Land: Basis for Future Methodological Development. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Ap2.1, 9. Retrieved from. http://www.ipccnggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/4_Volume4/V4_p_Ap2_WetlandsCO2.pdf.
56. Loehman, R. A., Reinhardt E., Riley K. L. (2014).Wildland fire emissions, carbon, and climate: Seeing the forest and the trees – A cross-scale assessment of wildfire and carbon dynamics in fire-prone, forested ecosystems. *Elsevier.*, 317: 9–19. DOI:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.04.014
57. Liu, Y., Goodrick S., Heilman, W. (2013). Wildland fire emissions, carbon, and climate: Wildfire–climate interactions. *Forest Ecology and Management.*, 1:80-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.02.020>.
58. Hao, W.M., Ward, D.E., Olbu, G., Baker, S.P. (1996). Emissions of CO₂, CO, and hydrocarbons from fires in diverse African savanna ecosystems. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101:23577–23584.DOI:10.1029/95JD02198
59. Jeong, M.-J., Li, Z., Andrews, E., Tsay, S.-C. (2007). Effect of aerosol humidification on the column aerosol optical thickness over the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Southern Great Plains site. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112: D10202. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007176>.
60. Rogers, B.M., Neilson, R.P., Drapek, R., Lenihan, J.M., Wells, J.R., Bachelet, D., Law, B.E. (2011). Impacts of climate change on fire regimes and carbon stocks of the U.S. Pacific Northwest. *J. Geophys. Res.*,116. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001695>.
61. Sommers, W. T., Loehman, R. A., Hardy, C. C. (2014). Wildland fire emissions, carbon, and climate: Science overview and knowledge needs. *Forest Ecology and Management.*, 317 1–8.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.12.014>
62. IPCC. (2007). In: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, A.L., Chen, B., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., Miller, E.K. (Eds.), The Physical Science Basis, 2007. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
63. National Research Council. (2008). Estimating Mortality Risk Reduction and Economic Benefits from Controlling Ozone Air Pollution. The National Academies Press, Washington D.C. DOI: 10.17226/12198
64. Bell, M.L., McDermott, A., Zeger, S.L., Samet, J.M., Dominici, F. (2004). Ozone and short-term mortality in 95 U.S. urban communities. *JAMA.*, 292: 19. DOI: 10.1001/jama.292.19.2372
65. Lippmann, M. (1991). Health effects of tropospheric ozone. *Environmental Science & Technology.*, 25: 1954e1962.
66. McConnell, R., Berhane, K., Gilliland, F., London, S.J., Islam, T., Gauderman, W.J., Avol, E., Margolis, H.G., Peters, J.M. (2002). Asthma in exercising children exposed to ozone: a cohort study. *The Lancet.*, 359: 386e391.doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07597-9.
67. Andreae, M.O., Merlet, P., (2001). Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles.*, 15:955e966.<https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001382>
68. Oltmans, S.J., Lefohn, A.S., Harris, J.M., Tarasick, D.W., Thompson, A.M., Wernli, H., Johnson, B.J., Novelli, P.C., Montzka, S.A., Ray, J.D., Patrick, L.C., Sweeney, C., Jefferson, A., Dann, T., Davies, J., Shapiro, M., Holben, B.N. (2010). Enhanced ozone over western North America from biomass burning in Eurasia during April 2008 as seen in surface and profile observations. *Atmospheric Environment.*, 44:4497e4509. DOI:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.07.004
69. Alvarado, M.J., Logan, J.A., Mao, J., Apel, E., Riemer, D., Blake, D., Cohen, R.C., Min, K.-E., Perring, A.E., Browne, E.C., Wooldridge, P.J., Diskin, G.S., Sachse, G.W., Fuelberg, H., Sessions, W.R., Harrigan, D.L., Huey, G., Liao, J., Case-Hanks, A., Jimenez, J.L., Cubison, M.J., Vay, S.A., Weinheimer, A.J., Knapp, D.J., Montzka, D.D., Flocke, F.M., Pollack, I.B., Wennberg, P.O., Kurten, A., Crounse, J., Clair, J.M.S., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Yantosca, R.M., Carouge, C.C., Le Sager, P. (2010). Nitrogen oxides and PAN in plumes from boreal fires during ARCTAS-B and their impact on ozone: an integrated analysis of aircraft and satellite observations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics.*, 10: 9739e9760.<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-9739-2010>
70. Perez-Cabello, Fernando, Cerda, Artemi, Riva, J, Echeverria, M.T, Garcia-Martin, Alberto, Ibarra, P, Lasanta, T, Montorio, R, Palacios, Vicente. (2012). Micro-scale post-fire surface cover changes monitored using high spatial resolution photography in a semiarid environment: A useful tool in the study of post-fire soil erosion processes. *Journal of Arid Environments.*, 76: 88–96. DOI:10.1016/J.JARIDENV.2011.08.007
71. Amiro, B.D., Chen, J.M., Liu, J. (2000). Net primary productivity following forest fire for Canadian ecoregions. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research.*, 30: 939–947. DOI:10.1139/cjfr-30-6-939
72. Cerda, A. (1998). The influence of aspect and vegetation on seasonal changes in erosion under rainfall simulation on a clay soil in Spain. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science.*, 78 (2): 321–330.<https://doi.org/10.4141/S97-060>
73. Yin, S., Wang, X., Zhang, X., Guo, M., Miura, M., Xiao, Y. (2019). Influence of biomass burning on local air pollution in mainland Southeast Asia from 2001 to 2016. *Environ. Pollut.*, 254: 112949.

74. Venkatesh, K., Preethi, K., Ramesh, H. (2020). Evaluating the effects of forest fire on water balance using fire susceptibility maps. *Ecol. Indic.*, 110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105856>.
75. Jaboyedoff, M., Michoud, C., Derron, M.H., Voumard, J., Leibundgut, G., Sudmeier-Rieux, K., Leroi, E. (2018). Human-induced landslides: Toward the analysis of anthropogenic changes of the slope environment. *Landslides and Engineered Slopes. Experience, Theory and Practice*. CRC Press, pp. 217–232. DOI:10.1201/b21520-20
76. Lutz, H.J. (1956). Ecological effects of forest fire in the interior of Alaska. *Technical bulletin* No. 1133. USDA.
77. Amiro, B.D., Todd, J.B., Wotton, B.M., Logan, K. a, Flannigan, M.D., Stocks, B.J., Mason, J.A., Martell, D.L., Hirsch, K.G. (2001). Direct carbon emissions from Canadian forest fires, 1959-1999. *Can. J. For. Res.*, 31 (3):512–525. <https://doi.org/10.1139/x00-197>
78. Keane, Robert E. (2001). Successional dynamics: modeling an anthropogenic threat. In: Tomback, Diana F.; Arno, Stephen F.; Keane, Robert E., eds. *Whitebark pine communities: ecology and restoration*. Tucson, AZ: Island Press.
79. Swanson, F. J., Franklin, J. F., Sedell, J. R. (1990). Landscape patterns, disturbance, and management in the Pacific Northwest, USA. In: Zonneveld, I. S.; Forman, R. T. T., eds. *Changing landscapes: an ecological perspective*. New York: Springer-Verlag., 191-213. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-3304-6_11
80. Rao, AmbreNeena. (2008). *Forest Ecology in India* Foundation Book, New Delhi, | Singh, A.K. (1987), 'Forest Resources Economy and Environment' Concept Publication | Company, New Delhi, | Dixit, K.R. (1991), 'Environment Forest Ecology and Man' RawatPulbicaton, (India), ISBN 81-7033-137 (<http://himachal.gov.in/home/HomeGuards/pdfs/forest%20Fires.pdf>).
81. Chitale V., and Behera M.D. (2019). How will forest fires impact the distribution of endemic plants in the Himalayan biodiversity hotspot? ***Biodiversity and Conservation***. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01733-8>.
82. Jhariya, M. K., Raj, A. (2014). Effects of wildfires on flora, fauna and physico-chemical properties of soil-An overview. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science.*, 6 (2): 887 – 897.

CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

R Kumar, H Darro, A K Bhardwaj, K. K. Chandra. Forest Fire and its Influence on Environmental conditions: A review. *Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci.*, Vol 10[12] November 2021 : 258-267